

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/694,386	VETORETTI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754

**All Participants:**

(1) Patrick F. Brinson.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Benjamin J. Hauptman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*12, 13 and 23-27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*EP 567,240 A1 to Edwards*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed cancelling claims 23-27 wherein they are drawn to a separate invention than what was searched originally. New claims being drawn to a fastening device. It was agreed that the claims be cancelled by Examiner's amendment. Also discussed amending claim 12 to incorporate functional language to overcome possible rejection of the Edwards reference..